

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/606,314	06/29/2000	Richard Fike	0942.4290005/RWE/BJD	1340	
26111 75	90 04/24/2006		EXAMINER		
STERNE, KESSLER, GOLDSTEIN & FOX PLLC			FLOOD, MICHELE C		
	RK AVENUE, N.W.				
WASHINGTON, DC 20005			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1655		

DATE MAILED: 04/24/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action

Application No.	Applicant(s)
09/606,314	FIKE ET AL.
Examiner	Art Unit
Michele Flood	1655

Defense the Fillian of an Annual Brief						
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Michele Flood	1655				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>07 April 2006</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL	LOWANCE.				
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	rce, which FR 41.31; or (3)			
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection.						
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN						
TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7 Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		26(a) and the appropria	to ovtansion foo			
nave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da).	of the fee. The approprinally set in the final Offi te of the final rejection, o	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as even if timely filed,			
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th				
AMENDMENTS						
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);						
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be			the issues for			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a	• -	ected claims.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.14. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL_324)			
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(I TOL-324).			
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s). 	llowable if submitted in a separate,					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 27,36,92-95 and 103-111.		ll be entered and an e	explanation of			
Claim(s) rejected. 27,30,92-95 and 103-111. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: 112-121.						
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. 🗌 The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attacl	ned.			
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	A NOT I II DESCRIPTION	P.C 5 1				
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by	it does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowa	nce because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper No(s).						
13. ☑ Other: Interview Summary dated April 3, 2006 attached hereto.						
PRIM	IARY EXAMINER	Michele Flood Primary Examiner Art Unit: 1655				

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 7-05)

Application No. 09/606,314

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The insertion of the limitation, "comprises all the necessary nutritive factors for the proliferation or cultivation" in independent Claim 27 would require further search and/or consideration.